This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive SummaryThe A DUE StudySubgroup AnalysesInterim Analysis - A DUE OL StudyPost Hoc AnalysisAbbreviations and References
--

A DUE Study¹

- A DUE was a prospective, multicenter, DB, randomized, active-controlled, triple-dummy, parallel-group, group-sequential, adaptive phase 3 study (NCT03904693) that evaluated the efficacy and safety of OPSYNVI vs macitentan 10 mg and tadalafil 40 mg monotherapies in patients with PAH.¹
 - In the 70 patients who were randomized to OPSYNVI vs macitentan 10 mg monotherapy (OPSYNVI _M), the treatment effect was 0.71 (95% CL, 0.61-0.82; P<0.0001; 29% reduction) compared with macitentan monotherapy.
 - In the 86 patients who were randomized to OPSYNVI vs tadalafil 40 mg monotherapy (OPSYNVI _T), the treatment effect was 0.72 (95% CL, 0.64-0.80; P<0.0001; 28% reduction) compared with tadalafil monotherapy.

Subgroup Analysis^{2,3}

- Two subgroup analysis of patients from the A DUE study were conducted:^{2,3}
 - Based on background therapy status: A PVR reduction of 30% and 34% was observed among treatment-naïve patients who received OPSYNVI vs macitentan 10 mg and tadalafil 40 mg monotherapies, respectively, at week 16. A PVR reduction of 32% and 19% was observed in patients with prior ERA therapy who received OPSYNVI vs macitentan 10 mg monotherapy and in patients with prior PDE-5i therapy who received OPSYNVI vs tadalafil 40 mg monotherapy, respectively, at week 16.²
 - Based on age, sex, region, and WHO FC: A prespecified analysis evaluated the efficacy (PVR) and safety of OPSYNVI vs macitentan 10 mg and tadalafil 40 mg monotherapies.³

OL Interim Analysis⁴

 In an OL interim analysis, which evaluated the effect of OPSYNVI on exercise capacity (6MWD) and NT-proBNP, and its long-term safety and tolerability in patients with PAH, a sustained improvement in 6MWD and NT-proBNP was noted up to 12 months in the OL period.⁴

Post hoc Analysis⁵

• In a post hoc analysis, which evaluated the effect of OPSYNVI vs pooled monotherapy (macitentan or tadalafil) in treatment-naïve patients or those who were on prior monotherapy at randomization, there was an improvement in PVR, 6MWD and NT-proBNP from baseline to week 16 compared to pooled monotherapy in both groups.⁵

Note: 6MWD, 6-minute walk distance; CL, confidence limit; DB, double-blind; ERA, endothelin receptor antagonist; FC, functional class; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide; OL, open-label; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; PDE-5i, phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; WHO, World Health Organization.



This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).



^bSildenafil 60-120 mg total daily dose, tadalafil 40 mg total daily dose, and vardenafil 10 mg total daily dose.

^cPatients on baseline PDE-5i therapy (tadalafil 40 mg, sildenafil 60-120 mg, or vardenafil 10 mg daily) were started on tadalafil 40 mg from day 1.

^dDown titration of tadalafil to 20 mg was permitted for tolerability issues, while down titration of macitentan was not. Note: 6MWD, 6-minute walk distance; CL, confidence limit; CTD, connective tissue disease; DB, double-blind; ERA, endothelin receptor antagonist; FC, functional class; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; OL, open-label; OPSYNVI _M, OPSYNVI group used for comparison vs macitentan; OPSYNVI _T, OPSYNVI group used for comparison vs tadalafil; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; PAH-SYMPACT, PAH-Symptoms and Impact; PDE-5i, Phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; QD, once daily; RHC, right heart catheterization; WHO, World Health Organization.

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive Summary The A DUE Study		Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analysis - A DUE OL Study		Post Hoc Analysis	Abbreviations and References		
Overview		Stu	dy Design	Efficacy Re	esults	Safety Results		
Study Design and Endpoints		Key Eligibi	lity Criteria	Basel	ine Character	istics		

Study Design^{1,7}

A DUE was a prospective, multicenter, DB, randomized, active-controlled, triple-dummy, parallel-group, group-sequential, adaptive phase 3 study (NCT03904693) that evaluated the efficacy and safety of OPSYNVI vs macitentan 10 mg and tadalafil 40 mg monotherapies in patients with PAH (including treatment-naïve patients and patients on ERA or PDE-5i monotherapy at baseline).



^aTitration period: Individual tablets of macitentan 10 mg and tadalafil 20 mg were given during week 1 and macitentan 10 mg and tadalafil 40 mg during week 2; from day 15, M/T FDC was given as a single tablet; tadalafil uptitration was not performed in patients receiving prior PDE-5i monotherapy.

^bOL titration period: Patients who received macitentan 10 mg monotherapy in the DB treatment will receive individual tablets of macitentan 10 mg and tadalafil 20 mg in week 1 of the OL period, and tadalafil will be uptitrated to 40 mg in week 2. Patients who received tadalafil 40 mg monotherapy during the DB treatment will receive individual tablets of macitentan 10 mg and tadalafil 40 mg in weeks 1 and 2 of the OL period.

^cPatients who prematurely discontinued the DB study treatment will continue until the end of safety follow-up but will not receive the OL treatment.

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).



^aPlease view the supplementary appendix for a complete list of inclusion/exclusion criteria. ^bBosentan 250 mg total daily dose, macitentan 10 mg total daily dose, and ambrisentan 10 mg total daily dose. ^cSildenafil 60-120 mg total daily dose, tadalafil 40 mg total daily dose, and vardenafil 10 mg total daily dose.

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive Summary The A DUE S		E Study	Subgroup Interim An Analyses A DUE OL		/sis - Post Hoc tudy Analysis		Abbreviations and References	
Overview		Stud	dy Design	Efficacy Results		Safety Results		
Study Design and Endpoints		Key Eligibi	ility Criteria	Baseli	ine Characte	ristics		

- Of the 294 patients screened between October 15, 2019, and August 23, 2022, 187 were randomized.¹
- Overall, 108 patients were assigned to receive OPSYNVI, of whom 70 were randomized to OPSYNVI _M that was compared with the 10 mg macitentan monotherapy group (n=35) and 86 patients were randomized to OPSYNVI _T that was compared with the 40 mg tadalafil monotherapy group (n=44).¹

Baseline Demographics and Characteristics¹

Characteristic	OPSYNVI_M (n=70)	Macitentan (n=35)	OPSYNVI _T (n=86)	Tadalafil (n=44)
Female, n (%)	53 (75.7)	29 (82.9)	62 (72.1)	34 (77.3)
Age, mean (SD), years	51.8 (16.1)	51.3 (15.9)	48.7 (16.8)	51.3 (13.7)
Time from diagnosis of PAH, years				
Mean (SD)	1.5 (2.9)	3.2 (6.1)	1.4 (2.3)	0.9 (2.3)
Median (range)	0.14 (0.02-14.84)	0.10 (0.03-27.65)	0.16 (0.02-10.71)	0.33 (0.02-12.83)
PAH etiology, n (%)				
Idiopathic	36 (51.4)	16 (45.7)	47 (54.7)	20 (45.5)
Heritable	3 (4.3)	3 (8.6)	2 (2.3)	2 (4.5)
Drug- or toxin-induced	1 (1.4)	0	1 (1.2)	2 (4.5)
Associated with		·	·	
CTD	27 (38.6)	13 (37.1)	29 (33.7)	16 (36.4)
HIV	2 (2.9)	0	3 (3.5)	2 (4.5)
Corrected congenital heart disease	1 (1.4)	2 (5.7)	3 (3.5)	1 (2.3)
Portal hypertension	0	1 (2.9)	1 (1.2)	1 (2.3)
6MWD, mean (SD), m	354.3 (103.5)	347.2 (88.8)	351.0 (98.9)	361.8 (70.4)
WHO FC, n (%)		^ 		
II	42 (60.0)	11 (31.4)	51 (59.3)	19 (43.2)
III	28 (40.0)	24 (68.6)	35 (40.7)	25 (56.8)
PVR, dyn∙s/cm⁵				
Mean (SD)	845.3 (636.6)	827.2 (403.9)	888.7 (639.1)	812.4 (559.0)
Median (range)	632.1 (194-3888)	794.0 (265-1555)	729.6 (194-3888)	690.7 (244-3277)
NT-proBNP, ng/L, median ^a (range)	461.0 (51-23,662)	632.8 (51-5704)	466.1 (51-8420)	428.9 (51-6433)
PAH therapy at baseline, n (%)				
Treatment-naïve	49 (70)	24 (69)	49 (57)	25 (57)
Prior ERA ^b	21 (30)	11 (31)	-	-
Macitentan	10 (14)	5 (14)	-	-
Ambrisentan	7 (10)	3 (9)	-	-
Bosentan	4 (6)	3 (9)	-	-
Prior PDE-5i ^b	-	-	37 (43)	19 (43) ^c
Sildenafil	-	-	28 (33)	11 (25)
Tadalafil	-	-	5 (6) ^d	4 (9)
Sildenafil citrate	-	-	5 (6)	3 (7)

Note: Data presented for the full analysis set.

^aOPSYNVI_M: (n=67); macitentan monotherapy (n=30); OPSYNVI_T (n=84); tadalafil monotherapy (n=42). ^bPrior medication is defined as any treatment for which the end date is prior to the first dose of study treatment. Additional prior

^oPrior medication is defined as any treatment for which the end date is prior to the first dose of study treatment. Additional prior medications received were the following: OPSYNVI _M group: n=1 iloprost, n=1 riociguat, n=1 sildenafil, n=1 tadalafil; OPSYNVI _T group: n=1 riociguat. Some PAH-specific medications were received on the first day of study treatment: OPSYNVI _M group: n=1 macitentan; macitentan group: n=1 macitentan, n=1 bosentan; OPSYNVI _T group: n=1 sildenafil, n=1 sildenafil citrate; tadalafil group: n=1 sildenafil citrate.

One prior PDE-5i patient had a missing therapy start date and was not included.

^dOne patient who was stratified incorrectly as treatment-naïve received tadalafil until 2 days prior to randomization.

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive Summary The A DUE		E Study	Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analysis - A DUE OL Study		Post Hoc Analysis	Abbreviations and Reference		is es
Overview		Stud	dy Design	Efficacy Results		Safety Results			
Primary Efficacy Endpoint at Week 16		Secondary Eff	icacy Endpoint	Ex Enc	xploratory Effic dpoints at Wee	acy k 16			

In the OPSYNVI _M group, the treatment effect was 0.71 (95% CL, 0.61-0.82; P<0.0001; 29% reduction) compared to macitentan monotherapy. In the OPSYNVI _T group, the treatment effect was 0.72 (95% CL, 0.64-0.80; P<0.0001; 28% reduction) compared with tadalafil monotherapy.¹

Change in PVR at Week 16¹





Geometric Mean Change in PVR at Week 16¹

OPS	SYNVI _M (n=	70)	Ма	citentan (n=3	35)	Treatment Effect,	
Baseline ^a	Week 16 ^{a,b}	Change	Baseline ^a	Week 16 ^{a,b}	Change ^c	GMR (95% CL);	
834.3 (630.9)	457.3 (329.3)	0.55 (0.50-0.60)	815.9 (401.2)	665.8 (381.9)	0.77 (0.69-0.87)	0.71 (0.61-0.82); <0.0001	
OPS	SYNVI _T (n=	86)	Та	Treatment Effect,			
Baseline ^a	Week 16 ^{a,b}	Change	Baseline ^a	Week 16 ^{a,b}	Change	GMR (95% CL); P Value	
884.7 (640.3)	513.2 (359.3)	0.56 (0.52-0.60)	802.1 (552.0)	640.3 (378.5)	0.78 (0.72-0.84)	0.72 (0.64-0.80); <0.0001	
	OPS Baseline ^a 834.3 (630.9) OPS Baseline ^a 884.7 (640.3)	OPSYNVI_M (n= Baselinea Week 16 ^{a,b} 834.3 457.3 (630.9) (329.3) OPSYNVI_T (n= Baselinea Week 16 ^{a,b} 884.7 513.2 (640.3) (359.3)	OPSVVI_M (n=Jo) Baseline ^a Week 16 ^{a,b} Change ^c 834.3 457.3 0.55 (630.9) (329.3) (0.50-0.60) OPSVVI_T(n=S) Baseline ^a Week 16 ^{a,b} Change ^c 884.7 513.2 0.56 (640.3) (359.3) (0.52-0.60)	OP>VVI_M (n=->) Max Baseline ^a Week 16 ^{a,b} Change ^c Baseline ^a 834.3 (630.9) 457.3 (329.3) 0.55 (0.50-0.60) 815.9 (401.2) 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	OPS-VVI_M (n=-70) Mail Baseline ^a Week 16 ^{a,b} Change ^c Baseline ^a Week 16 ^{a,b} 834.3 (630.9) 457.3 (329.3) 0.55 (0.50-0.60) 815.9 (401.2) 665.8 (381.9) OPS-VVI_T (n=-8) OC Baseline ^a Week 16 ^{a,b} Meek 16 ^{a,b} Baseline ^a Week 16 ^{a,b} Change ^c Baseline ^a Week 16 ^{a,b} Baseline ^a S13.2 (359.3) 0.56 (0.52-0.60) 802.1 (552.0) 640.3 (378.5)	OP>VVI_M(n=>) OMatical Constraint (n=>) Baseline ^a Week 16 ^{a,b} Change ^c Baseline ^a Week 16 ^{a,b} Change ^c 834.3 457.3 0.55 815.9 665.8 0.77 (630.9) (329.3) 0.55 815.9 (401.2) 865.8 0.77 OP>VVI_T(n=>) OChange ^c Baseline ^a Week 16 ^{a,b} Change ^c Baseline ^a Meek 16 ^{a,b} Change ^c 884.7 513.2 0.56 802.1 640.3 0.78 0.72-0.84)	

Data presented for the full analysis set.

^aMean (SD).

^bNumber of patients with missing data at week 16: OPSYNVI _M, n=3; macitentan, n=1; OPSYNVI _T, n=2; tadalafil, n=2; data were imputed for these patients.

Geometric mean (95% CL) for the ratio of week 16/baseline PVR.

In treatment naïve patients, the treatment effect of OPSYNVI (n=49) was 0.70 (95% CL, 0.58-0.84; P=0.0002; 30% reduction) and 0.66 (95% CL, 0.56-0.78; P<0.0001; 34% reduction) compared with macitentan (n=24) and tadalafil monotherapies (n=25), respectively. In patients receiving prior ERA, the treatment effect of OPSYNVI (n=21) was 0.68 (95% CL, 0.53-0.86; P=0.0025; 32% reduction) compared with macitentan monotherapy (n=11) and in patients receiving prior PDE-5i, the treatment effect of OPSYNVI (n=37) was 0.81 (95% CL, 0.70-0.94; P=0.0066; 19% reduction) compared with tadalafil monotherapy (n=19).¹

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive Summary		The A DUE Study		Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analysis - A DUE OL Study		Post Hoc Analysis	Abbreviat and Refere	ions nces
	Overview		Stu	idy Design	Efficacy Results		Safety Results		
	Primary Efficacy Endpoint at Week 16		lpoint at	Secondary Eff	icacy Endpoint	Ex Enc	ploratory Effic	acy k 16	

- Baseline to week 16 Improvement in 6MWD, mean (SD) 6MWD in all groups:1
 - OPSYNVI _M group: 52.9 (10.6) m
 - OPSYNVI _T group: 43.4 (8.4) m
 - Macitentan monotherapy group: 38.5 (11.9) m
 - Tadalafil monotherapy group: 15.9 (6.8) m
- The adjusted treatment effect (compared with monotherapies)¹
 - OPSYNVI vs macitentan monotherapy: 16.0 m (95% CL, -17.0 to 49.1; *P*=0.380)
 - OPSYNVI vs tadalafil monotherapy: 25.4 m (95% CL, -0.9 to 51.6; *P*=0.059)
- There were improvements in cardiopulmonary and cardiovascular symptoms domain scores of the PAH-SYMPACT questionnaire from baseline to week 16; however, no differences between the groups were noted.¹
 - Cardiopulmonary symptom score:
 - Treatment effect between OPSYNVI _M (n=66) and macitentan monotherapy (n=33) was -0.03 (95% CL, -0.21 to 0.15)
 - Treatment effect between OPSYNVI _T (n=81) and tadalafil monotherapy (n=42) was -0.04 (95% CL, -0.21 to 0.13)
 - Cardiovascular symptom score:
 - Treatment effect between OPSYNVI _M and macitentan monotherapy was 0.01 (95% CL, -0.17 to 0.19)
 - Treatment effect between OPSYNVI _T and tadalafil monotherapy was 0.02 (95% CL, -0.15 to 0.19)
- Assessment of WHO FC at week 16 was carried out separately in interim analysis and post-interim analysis patients.¹
 - In the interim analysis group:
 - Absence of worsening was noted in 17 (94.4%) and 20 (90.9%) patients in the macitentan and tadalafil monotherapy groups, respectively, and in 29 (76.3%) and 41 (87.2%) patients in the OPSYNVI _M and OPSYNVI _T groups, respectively; there were no significant differences between the groups
 - In the post-interim analysis group:
 - Absence of worsening was observed in 17 (100%) and 21 (95.5%) patients in the macitentan and tadalafil monotherapy groups, respectively, and in 30 (93.8%) and 35 (89.7%) patients in the OPSYNVI _M and OPSYNVI _T groups, respectively; there were no significant differences between the groups.

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive Summary		The A DUE Study		Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analysis - A DUE OL Study		Post Hoc Analysis	Abbrevia and Refer	ations rences
	Overview		Stu	dy Design	Efficacy Results		Safety Results		
	Primary Efficacy En Week 16		dpoint at	Secondary Eff	icacy Endpoint	Ex End	ploratory Efficient	cacy ek 16	

- NT-proBNP decreased in all groups from baseline, with greater reduction observed in the OPSYNVI groups.¹
 - The treatment effect, GMR was 0.57 (95% CL, 0.41-0.80; *P*=0.0015) between OPSYNVI and macitentan monotherapy.
 - The treatment effect, GMR was 0.57 (95% CL, 0.42-0.77; *P*=0.0003) between OPSYNVI and tadalafil monotherapy.
- Changes in other hemodynamic variables favored the OPSYNVI treatment over macitentan and tadalafil monotherapies.¹

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive Summary		The A DU	E Study	Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analysis - A DUE OL Study	Post Hoc Analysis	Abbreviations and References
	Overvi	ew	Stu	udy Design	Efficacy Results	Safety	/ Results

- Three patients died in the OPSYNVI group due to:1
 - Cardiac failure
 - Clostridium difficile gastroenteritis
 - COVID-19 pneumonia
- These deaths were determined to be unrelated to treatment by the investigators.¹

Safety and Tolerability¹

Characteristic	OPSYNVI (n=107)	Macitentan 10 mg Monotherapy (n=35)	Tadalafil 40 mg Monotherapy (n=44)
Mean (SD) exposure, weeks	14.9 (4.5)	16.9 (1.3)	16.0 (1.0)
Patients with $\geq 1 \text{ AE}^{a}$, n (%)	88 (82.2)	25 (71.4)	35 (79.5)
Patients with ≥ 1 SAE ^{a,b} , n (%)	15 (14.0)	3 (8.6)	4 (9.1)
Patients with ≥ 1 AE leading to premature treatment discontinuation ^a , n (%)	9 (8.4)	0	2 (4.5)
Patients with AEs ^c , n (%)			
Headache	18 (16.8)	6 (17.1)	6 (13.6)
Peripheral edema	14 (13.1)	4 (11.4)	5 (11.4)
Diarrhea	5 (4.7)	0	6 (13.6)
Patients with AESI ^{a,d} , n (%)			
Edema and fluid retention	22 (20.6)	5 (14.3)	7 (15.9)
Anemia ^e	20 (18.7)	1 (2.9)	1 (2.3)
Hypotension	8 (7.5)	0	0
Hepatic disorders	1 (0.9)	1 (2.9)	4 (9.1)

Data presented for the safety set (patients who received ≥ 1 dose of study drug).

^aTreatment-emergent period spanned from the first intake of study drug in the DB treatment period until 30 days after the end of DB treatment or start of OL treatment.

^bThe most frequent SAEs reported in \geq 1 patient in the OPSYNVI group were cardiac failure (n=2; 1.9%) and dyspnea (n=2; 1.9%).

^cAEs experienced by $\geq 10\%$ of patients in any group.

dGrouped terms.

^eOne patient with anemia required transfusion for improving hemoglobin levels. Except for 1, all cases of anemia were of mild/moderate severity.

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Exe Sur	ecutive nmary	The A DUE Study	Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analysis - P A DUE OL Study A		Post Hoc Analysis	Abbrev and Ref	riations erences
		Grünig et al (2023	3)	Jansa et al (2023)				
	Baseli	ine Characteristics	Efficacy	' Results		Safety Results	5	

- **Grünig et al (2023)**² conducted a subgroup analysis of patients from the A DUE study based on their background therapy status (treatment-naïve, prior ERA, or prior PDE-5i) to evaluate the efficacy (PVR and 6MWD) and safety of OPSYNVI vs macitentan 10 mg and tadalafil 40 mg monotherapies.
- Of the 108 patients randomized to OPSYNVI, 49 were treatment-naïve, 21 had prior ERA therapy and 37 had prior PDE-5i therapy. Of the 35 patients randomized to the macitentan group, 24 were treatment-naïve and 11 had prior ERA therapy. Of the 44 patients randomized to the tadalafil group, 25 were treatment-naïve and 19 had prior PDE-5i therapy.²

	Tre	atment-N	aïve	Prio	r ERA	Prior	PDE-5i
Characteristic	M	T	OPSYNVI	M	OPSYNVI	T	OPSYNVI
	(n=24)	(n=25)	(n=49)	(n=11)	(n=21)	(n=19)	(n=37)
Female, n (%)	22	20	33	7	20	14	29
	(91.7)	(80.0)	(67.3)	(63.6)	(95.2)	(73.7)	(78.4)
Age, mean (SD),	51.0	52.6	53.1	52.0	48.9	53.8	42.8
years	(17.6)	(14.8)	(17.8)	(12.0)	(11.1)	(12.3)	(13.5)
6MWD, mean	324.1	349.6	352.9	397.6	357.6	377.9	348.6
(SD), m	(96.0)	(81.6)	(111.0)	(39.4)	(85.7)	(50.0)	(81.4)
WHO FC, n (%)							
II	4	8	28	7	14	11	23
	(16.7)	(32.0)	(57.1)	(63.6)	(66.7)	(57.9)	(62.2)
III	20	17	21	4	7	8	14
	(83.3)	(68.0)	(42.9)	(36.4)	(33.3)	(42.1)	(37.8)
PVR, mean (SD),	908.9	921.8	842.2	649.0	852.4	668.4	950.3
dyn·s/cm ⁵	(350.0)	(664.9)	(661.5)	(471.1)	(589.7)	(344.5)	(611.7)

Baseline Demographics and Characteristics by Subgroup²

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive Summary	The A DUE Study	Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analys A DUE OL Stu	sis - ıdy	Post Hoc Analysis	Abbrev and Refe	iations erences
	Grünig et al (2023)			Jansa	et al (2023)		
Baseline Characteristics		Efficacy	Results		Safety Results	5	

Change in 6MWD From Baseline to Week 16 by Subgroup²

	Treatme	nt-Naïveª	Prior	ERAª	Prior PDE-5i ^a		
	Change in 6MWD at Week 16	(95% CL); <i>P</i> Value ^b	Change in 6MWD at Week 16	(95% CL); <i>P</i> Value ^b	Change in 6MWD at Week 16	(95% CL); <i>P</i> Value ^b	
OPSYNVI _M ^c	20.38 m	(-20.30 to 61.08); 0.3214	8.49 m	(-41.00 to 57.95); 0.7279	-	-	
OPSYNVI _T°	33.04 m	(-5.31 to 71.39); 0.0902	-	-	25.89 m	(0.88- 50.90); 0.0427	

Note: Hyphens indicate blank cells implying values not determined or data not available.

^aMissing data at Week 16 were imputed for the following: Treatment-naïve: OPSYNVI (n=1), macitentan (n=2), tadalafil (n=1); Prior ERA: OPSYNVI (n=2); Prior PDE-5i: OPSYNVI (n=1), tadalafil (n=2).

^bp-values are exploratory and are not adjusted for adaptive design or multiplicity.

The adjusted change from baseline differences for OPSYNVI vs macitentan 10mg and tadalafil 40mg (treatment effect), mean change and CLs are presented.

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Exec Sum	Executive The A DUE Study Summary		Executive The A DUE Stu		Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analysis A DUE OL Stud	5 - Iy	Post Hoc Analysis	Abbrevia and Refer	itions ences
	Grünig et al (2023)			Jansa et al (2023)						
	Baseline Characteristics Effic		Efficacy	Results		Safety Result	:S			

Safety

 AEs, SAEs, and AEs leading to treatment discontinuation were reported more by treatment-naïve patients than those who had prior ERA or PDE-5i therapies.²

Safety and Tolerability by Subgroup²

	Tr	eatment-Naï	ve	Prior	ERA	Prior PDE-5i	
Characteristic	M (n=24)	T (n=25)	OPSYNVI (n=49)	M (n=11)	OPSYNVI (n=21)	T (n=19)	OPSYNVI (n=37)
Exposure, mean (SD), weeks	16.8 (1.3)	15.9 (1.1)	14.3 (5.3)	17.1 (1.5)	14.6 (5.2)	16.1 (0.7)	15.7 (2.8)
Patients with ≥1 AE, n (%)	17 (70.8)	20 (80.0)	43 (87.8)	8 (72.7)	16 (76.2)	15 (78.9)	29 (78.4)
Patients with ≥1 SAE, n (%)	2 (8.3)	3 (12.0)	8 (16.3)	1 (9.1)	3 (14.3)	1 (5.3)	4 (10.8)
Patients with ≥ 1 AE leading to premature discontinuation, n (%)	0	2 (8.0)	6 (12.2)	0	1 (4.8)	0	2 (5.4)
Patients with treatment-emergent AE leading to death ^a , n (%)	0	0	0	0	1 (4.8)	0	1 (2.7)
Patients with AEs ^₅ , n (%)							
Headache	3 (12.5)	3 (12.0)	8 (16.3)	3 (27.3)	4 (19.0)	3 (15.8)	6 (16.2)
Peripheral edema	4 (16.7)	4 (16.0)	7 (14.3)	0	2 (9.5)	1 (5.3)	5 (13.5)
Peripheral swelling	1 (4.2)	0	7 (14.3)	0	0	0	0
Cough	0	0	5 (10.2)	1 (9.1)	0	2 (10.5)	1 (2.7)
Anemia	0	0	5 (10.2)	0	1 (4.8)	0	2 (5.4)
Diarrhea	0	4 (16.0)	4 (8.2)	0	0	2 (10.5)	1 (2.7)
Dyspepsia	0	3 (12.0)	4 (8.2)	0	0	0	0
Back pain	1 (4.2)	2 (8.0)	3 (6.1)	0	2 (9.5)	2 (10.5)	0
Hemoglobin decreased	0	0	3 (6.1)	0	0	0	5 (13.5)
Hypotension	0	0	3 (6.1)	0	3 (14.3)	0	2 (5.4)
Myalgia	0	0	3 (6.1)	0	2 (9.5)	2 (10.5)	1 (2.7)
Arthralgia	2 (8.3)	4 (16.0)	2 (4.1)	0	0	0	2 (5.4)
COVID-19	2 (8.3)	0	2 (4.1)	0	0	2 (10.5)	1 (2.7)
Pain in extremity	0	3 (12.0)	1 (2.0)	0	1 (4.8)	0	1 (2.7)
Noncardiac chest pain	0	1 (4.0)	1 (2.0)	0	1 (4.8)	2 (10.5)	1 (2.7)
Patients with AESIs, n (%)							
Edema and fluid retention	5 (20.8)	4 (16.0)	15 (30.6)	0	2 (9.5)	3 (15.8)	5 (13.5)
Anemia	1 (4.2)	1 (4.0)	11 (22.4)	0	1 (4.8)	0	8 (21.6)
Hypotension	0	0	3 (6.1)	0	3 (14.3)	0	2 (5.4)
Hepatic disorders	1 (4.2)	3 (12.0)	0	0	0	1 (5.3)	1 (2.7)
Hemoglobin ^c , n (%)							
<8 g/dL	0	0	2 (4.4)	0	0	0	0
<10 g/dL	1 (4.2)	0	5 (11.1)	0	1 (5.6)	0	5 (13.5)
Decrease from baseline $\geq 5 \text{ g/dL}$	0	0	3 (6.7)	0	0	0	0
ALT/AST ≥3×ULN, n (%)	0	2 (8.0)	0	0	0	0	1 (2.7)

Note: Analyses were performed in the safety set which included all patients who received at least 1 dose of the study treatment. a Treatment-emergent period was defined as the first intake of the study treatment in the DB period up to and including minimum of end-of-treatment of the DB plus 30 days or the start date of OL treatment. In total, 3 deaths were reported in the study and were judged by the investigators as unrelated to treatment: n=1 cardiac failure, prior ERA/OPSYNVI group; n=1 clostridium difficile gastroenteritis, prior PDE-5i/OPSYNVI group; n=1 COVID-19 pneumonia (off-treatment), treatment-naïve/OPSYNVI group. ⁶AEs by preferred term experienced by ≥10% of patients in any group. ⁶n=45 in the treatment-naïve OPSYNVI group and n=18 in the prior ERA OPSYNVI group.

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive Summary	Executive Summary The A DUE Study		Interim Analy A DUE OL St	ysis - Post Hoc Study Analysis		Abbrev and Ref	viations erences
	Grünig et al (2023)			Jansa	et al (2023)		
A Patier A	A Patient Characteristics				Safety Results	5	

• Jansa et al (2023)³ conducted a prespecified subgroup analysis of patients from the A DUE study based on age, sex, region, and WHO FC to evaluate the efficacy (PVR) and safety of OPSYNVI vs macitentan 10 mg and tadalafil 40 mg monotherapies.

Patient Characteristics by Age³

		18-64	Years		≥65 Years				
Characteristic	OPSYNVI _M (n=53)	M (n=27)	OPSYNVI _T (n=67)	T (n=34)	OPSYNVI _M (n=53)	M (n=27)	OPSYNVI _T (n=67)	T (n=34)	
Female, n (%)	41	23	49	26	12	6	13	8	
	(77.4)	(85.2)	(73.1)	(76.5)	(70.6)	(75.0)	(68.4)	(80.0)	
Age, mean (SD),	45.8	45.2	42.3	48.0	70.6	72.0	71.1	70.6	
years	(13.6)	(12.4)	(13.0)	(10.8)	(5.1)	(4.0)	(5.1)	(4.7)	
PVR, mean (SD),	935.4	904.0	982.1	859.6	564.4	568.3	559.5	652.1	
dyn.s/cm ⁵	(683.6)	(414.1)	(676.4)	(596.7)	(343.8)	(236.8)	(323.3)	(387.9)	

Patient Characteristics by Sex³

		Ма	ale		≥65 Years				
Characteristic	OPSYNVI _M (n=17)	M (n=27)	OPSYNVI _T (n=67)	T (n=34)	OPSYNVI _M (n=53)	M (n=29)	OPSYNVI _T (n=62)	T (n=34)	
Age, mean (SD),	49.4	53.8	49.5	56.0	52.6	50.8	48.3	52.3	
years	(19.2)	(15.8)	(17.4)	(16.0)	(15.1)	(16.1)	(16.7)	(13.0)	
PVR, mean (SD),	857.7	841.1	831.0	918.9	841.3	824.4	911.0	781.1	
dyn.s/cm⁵	(648.6)	(415.3)	(575.0)	(493.2)	(638.9)	(408.9)	(665.4)	(580.0)	

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

l	Executive The A DUE Study Subgro		Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analysis A DUE OL Stud	s - Iy	Post Hoc Analysis	Abbreviations and Reference		
	Grünig et al (2023))	Ja	ansa e	et al (2023)			
-	Patie	nt Characteristics 义	Efficacy	Results		Safety Results	5		

Patient Characteristics by Region³

		U	S		Non-US				
Characteristic	OPSYNVI _M (n=10)	M (n=4)	OPSYNVI _T (n=13)	T (n=13)	OPSYNVI _M (n=60)	M (n=31)	OPSYNVI _T (n=73)	T (n=31)	
Female, n (%)	9	3	11	9	44	26	51	25	
	(90.0)	(75.0)	(84.6)	(69.2)	(73.3)	(83.9)	(69.9)	(80.6)	
Age, mean (SD),	55.4	51.3	55.3	56.8	51.2	51.4	47.5	51.6	
years	(14.7)	(19.7)	(14.4)	(11.9)	(16.4)	(15.7)	(17.0)	(14.2)	
PVR, mean (SD),	1001.4	709.4	953.2	677.8	819.2	842.4	877.2	868.9	
dyn.s/cm ⁵	(433.1)	(456.2)	(409.9)	(227.8)	(663.7)	(402.4)	(673.3)	(645.0)	

Patient Characteristics by WHO FC³

		FC	II		FC III				
Characteristic	OPSYNVI _M (n=42)	M (n=11)	OPSYNVI _T (n=51)	T (n=19)	OPSYNVI _M (n=28)	M (n=24)	OPSYNVI _T (n=35)	T (n=25)	
Female, n (%)	31	10	35	16	22	19	27	18	
	(73.8)	(90.9)	(68.6)	(84.2)	(78.6)	(79.2)	(77.1)	(72.0)	
Age, mean (SD),	51.1	52.7	48.6	53.5	52.9	50.7	48.7	52.8	
years	(15.9)	(10.0)	(15.6)	(12.4)	(16.6)	(18.1)	(18.6)	(14.8)	
PVR, mean (SD),	689.2	550.6	750.2	763.6	1079.4	954	1090.6	849.5	
dyn.s/cm⁵	(465.3)	(368.9)	(507.6)	(687.7)	(782.3)	(358.6)	(756)	(449.4)	

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Exe Sur	ecutive mmary	The A DUE Study	Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analysis A DUE OL Study	5 - Y	Post Hoc Analysis	Abbreviations and References	s
	Grünig et al (2023))	Ja	insa e	et al (2023)		
	Patie	nt Characteristics	Efficacy	/ Results		Safety Results	5	

Change in PVR at Week 16 by Prespecified Subgroups³

Characteristic	OPSYNVI_M (n/n)	GMR LS (95% CL)	P _{interaction} Value	OPSYNVI_T (n/n)	GMR LS (95% CL)	P _{interaction} Value
All patients	70/35	0.71 (0.61-0.82)	-	86/44	0.73 (0.65-0.81)	-
Age	•	·			• •	
18-64 years	53/27	0.67 (0.57-0.79)	0.1695	67/34	0.69 (0.61-0.78)	0.0724
65 years	17/8	0.82 (0.57-1.17)		19/10	0.89 (0.66-1.19)	
Sex						
Female	53/29	0.72 (0.62-0.84)	0.4833	62/34	0.71 (0.63-0.80)	0.3935
Male	17/6	0.58 (0.33-1.00)		24/10	0.80 (0.60-1.07)	
Race						
White	48/20	0.75 (0.62-0.90)		52/29	0.76 (0.65-0.88)	
Black or African American	2/1	-	0.1677	2/2	0.81 (0.24-2.71)	0.8477
Asian	17/12	0.59 (0.46-0.76)		30/11	0.71 (0.60-0.84)	
Other	1/0	-		1/0	-	
Region						
US	10/4	0.81 (0.45-1.43)	0.4246	13/13	0.79 (0.59-1.07)	0.3526
Non-US	60/31	0.69 (0.59-0.81)		73/31	0.70 (0.62-0.80)	
WHO FC						
II	42/11	0.73 (0.58-0.92)	0.6219	51/19	0.74 (0.64-0.86)	0.7053
III	28/24	0.68 (0.55-0.84)		35/25	0.70 (0.59-0.84)	
Note: GMRs could not	be calculated for	some groups due to s	small patient	numbers.		

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

E: Si	kecutive ummary	The A DUE Study	Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analysis A DUE OL Stud	s - Iy	Post Hoc Analysis	Abbrevi and Refe	iations erences
	Grünig et al (2023)		Ja	ansa	et al (2023)			
	Patie	ent Characteristics	Efficacy	/ Results	<	Safety Result	:S	

AEs by Age³

		18-64	Years		≥65 Years			
Characteristic	OPSYNVI _M (n=53)	M (n=27)	OPSYNVI _T (n=67)	T (n=34)	OPSYNVI _M (n=17)	M (n=8)	OPSYNVI _T (n=19)	T (n=10)
Exposure, mean (SD), weeks	14.8 (5.1)	16.9 (1.3)	15.3 (4.1)	16.1 (1.1)	13.2 (5.5)	16.7 (1.4)	13.5 (5.3)	15.8 (0.3)
Patients with ≥ 1 AE, n (%)	44 (83.0)	20 (74.1)	55 (82.1)	28 (82.4)	15 (88.2)	5 (62.5)	17 (89.5)	7 (70.0)
Patients with ≥1 SAE, n (%)	5 (9.4)	3 (11.1)	5 (7.5)	2 (5.9)	6 (35.3)	0	7 (36.8)	2 (20.0)
Patients with ≥ 1 AE leading to treatment discontinuation, n (%)	4 (7.5)	0	5 (7.5)	2 (5.9)	3 (17.6)	0	3 (15.8)	0

AEs by Sex³

	Male				Female			
Characteristic	OPSYNVI _M (n=17)	M (n=6)	OPSYNVI _T (n=24)	T (n=10)	OPSYNVI _M (n=53)	M (n=29)	OPSYNVI _T (n=62)	T (n=34)
Exposure, mean (SD), weeks	16.2 (2.8)	17.5 (1.5)	16.1 (2.3)	16.2 (0.7)	13.8 (5.7)	16.7 (1.3)	14.5 (4.9)	15.9 (1.0)
Patients with ≥ 1 AE, n (%)	14 (82.4)	5 (83.3)	20 (83.3)	9 (90.0)	45 (84.9)	20 (69.0)	52 (83.9)	26 (76.5)
Patients with ≥ 1 SAE, n (%)	4 (23.5)	2 (33.3)	5 (20.8)	2 (20.0)	7 (13.2)	1 (3.4)	7 (11.3)	2 (5.9)
Patients with ≥ 1 AE leading to treatment discontinuation, n (%)	1 (5.9)	0	1 (4.2)	0	6 (11.3)	0	7 (11.3)	2 (5.9)

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Exec Sum	utive mary	The A DUE Study	Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analy A DUE OL Stu	sis - udy	Post Hoc Analysis	Abbrev and Refe	iations erences
	Grünig et al (2023)			Jansa (et al (2023)			
	Patie	nt Characteristics	Efficacy	' Results		Safety Result	s >	

AEs by Region³

		U	S		≥65 Years			
Characteristic	OPSYNVI _M (n=10)	M (n=4)	OPSYNVI _T (n=13)	T (n=13)	OPSYNVI _M (n=60)	M (n=31)	OPSYNVI _T (n=73)	T (n=31)
Exposure, mean (SD), weeks	16.6 (0.9)	16.3 (1.2)	16.3 (1.0)	16.0 (0.7)	14.0 (5.5)	16.9 (1.3)	14.7 (4.7)	16.0 (1.1)
Patients with ≥1 AE, n (%)	10 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	13 (100.0)	11 (84.6)	49 (81.7)	21 (67.7)	59 (80.8)	24 (77.4)
Patients with ≥1 SAE, n (%)	0	0	0	1 (7.7)	11 (18.3)	3 (9.7)	12 (16.4)	3 (9.7)
Patients with \geq 1 AE leading to treatment discontinuation, n (%)	1 (10.0)	0	1 (7.7)	0	6 (10.0)	0	7 (9.6)	2 (6.5)

AEs by WHO FC³

		FC	II			FC III			
Characteristic	OPSYNVI _M (n=42)	M (n=11)	OPSYNVI _T (n=51)	T (n=19)	OPSYNVI _M (n=28)	M (n=24)	OPSYNVI _T (n=35)	T (n=25)	
Exposure, mean (SD), weeks	14.5 (5.4)	16.7 (1.3)	14.8 (4.9)	16.2 (0.6)	14.2 (5.0)	16.9 (1.3)	15.2 (3.7)	15.8 (1.1)	
Patients with ≥1 AE, n (%)	36 (85.7)	9 (81.8)	44 (86.3)	14 (73.7)	23 (82.1)	16 (66.7)	28 (80.0)	21 (84.0)	
Patients with ≥1 SAE, n (%)	8 (19.0)	1 (9.1)	8 (15.7)	1 (5.3)	3 (10.7)	2 (8.3)	4 (11.4)	3 (12.0)	
Patients with ≥ 1 AE leading to treatment discontinuation, n (%)	4 (9.5)	0	6 (11.8)	0	3 (10.7)	0	2 (5.7)	2 (8.0)	

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive Summary	The A DUE Study	Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analys A DUE OL Stu	sis - dy	Post Hoc Analysis	Abbreviations and References	s
Baseline Characteristics		Efficacy	y Results		Safety Re	sults	

- Chin et al (2024)⁴ conducted an interim analysis of the ongoing 24-month OL period (data cutoff, April 28, 2023) of the phase 3 A DUE study evaluating the effect of OPSYNVI on exercise capacity (6MWD), NT-proBNP, and its long-term safety and tolerability in patients with PAH.
- A total of 185 patients received OPSYNVI in the DB and/or OL period, of whom 113 continued to the OL period.⁴

Baseline Demographics and Characteristics of Patients in the Interim Analysis⁴

Characteristic	OPSYNVI (DB and/or OL) ^a n=185	OPSYNVI (in DB)⁵ n=107
Female, n (%)	144 (77.8)	82 (76.6)
Age, mean (SD), years	50.2 (15.4)	48.7 (15.8)
Time from diagnosis of PAH, years		
Mean (SD)	2.0 (3.6)	1.8 (2.8)
Median (range)	0.46 (0.02-28.0)	0.41 (0.02-14.84)
PAH etiology, n (%)		
Idiopathic	93 (50.3)	58 (54.2)
Heritable	9 (4.9)	4 (3.7)
Drug- or toxin-induced	3 (1.6)	1 (0.9)
Associated with		
CTD	65 (35.1)	36 (33.6)
HIV	6 (3.2)	4 (3.7)
Corrected congenital heart disease	6 (3.2)	3 (2.8)
Portal hypertension	3 (1.6)	1 (0.9)
6MWD, mean (SD), m	366 (91.4)	352 (96.1)
WHO FC, n (%) ^c		
I	6 (3.2) ^c	0
II	109 (58.9)	65 (60.7)
III	70 (37.8)	42 (39.3)
PVR, mean (SD), dyn·s/cm⁵	777 (548.0)	882 (627.2)
NT-proBNP, median (range), ng/L ^d	435 (51-23,662)	426 (51-23,662)

^aData are presented for the combined safety set of patients who received OPSYNVI at any time in the DB and/or ongoing OL period (April 2023 data cutoff); baseline was defined as the last assessment prior to the first intake of OPSYNVI (or titration dose) in either the DB or OL period.

^bData are presented for patients who received at least 1 dose of OPSYNVI in the DB period6; baseline was defined as the last nonmissing assessment performed on or before the DB study treatment start date.

^cA DUE included patients in FC II and III only; FC I patients here reflect patients who improved while in the study. ^dOPSYNVI (DB and/or OL), n=179; OPSYNVI (in DB), n=104.

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive	The A DUE Study	Subgroup	Interim Analys	is -	Post Hoc	Abbreviations
Summary		Analyses	A DUE OL Stue	dy	Analysis	and References
Baseline	Baseline Characteristics		/ Results		Safety Re	sults

- At 12 months, a sustained improvement was reported in 6MWD (OL period).⁴
- Reduction in NT-proBNP was reported during DB period and in OL period it remained stable up to • 12 months.⁴



Mean Change From

Baseline in 6MWD⁴

Data are presented for patients with nonmissing values at both baseline and postbaseline who started treatment with OPSYNVI in the DB period (N=107).

Geometric Mean Percentage of Baseline in NT-proBNP⁴



Data are presented for patients with nonmissing values at both baseline and postbaseline who were randomized to receive OPSYNVI for the DB period (N=104).

All-Cause and PAH-Related Hospitalizations in the DB and OL Periods⁴

Characteristic	OPSYNVI (in DB)ª n=107				
Patient-years in study	154.3				
Exposure, median (range), weeks	74.4 (0.6-151.6)				
All-cause hospitalizations					
Hospitalizations per person-year	0.3				
Inpatient hospital days per person-year	2.8				
PAH-related hospitalizations					
Hospitalizations per person-year	0.1				
Inpatient hospital days per person-year	0.9				
Medical encounters considered hospitalizations were intensive care unit, hospice/palliative care unit, hospital inpatient department, long-term care facility, and rehabilitation center. Hospitalization events were not clinical event committee adjudicated.					

^aData are presented for patients who received at least 1 dose of OPSYNVI in the DB period (April 2023 data cutoff).

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive	The A DUE Study	Subgroup	Interim Analysis -	Post Hoc	Abbreviations
Summary		Analyses	A DUE OL Study	Analysis	and References
Baseline	Characteristics	Efficacy	/ Results	Safety Re	sults

 A total of 6 deaths occurred, which were considered unrelated to treatment, and 2 were non-treatment emergent.⁴

Safety and Tolerability in the Interim Analysis⁴

Characteristic	OPSYNVI (DB and/or OL) ^a n=185
Exposure, median (range), weeks	75.4 (0.6-151.6)
Patients with ≥ 1 AE, n (%)	173 (93.5)
Patients with \geq 1 SAE, n (%)	49 (26.5)
Patients with \geq 1 AE leading to premature discontinuation, n (%)	17 (9.2)
AEs (preferred term), n (%) ^b	
COVID-19	43 (23.2)
Headache	27 (14.6)
Peripheral edema	21 (11.4)
Anemia	20 (10.8)
Patients with AESIs (grouped terms), n (%)	
Anemia	43 (23.2)
Edema and fluid retention	34 (18.4)
Hypotension	12 (6.5)
Hepatic disorders	10 (5.4)
Patients with low hemoglobin, n (%) ^c	
<8 g/dL	4 (2.3)
<10 g/dL	26 (14.7)
Decrease from baseline $\geq 5 \text{ g/dL}$	5 (2.8)
Patients with liver abnormalities, n (%) ^d	
ALT/AST ≥3×ULN	7 (3.9)
Deaths (preferred term), n (%) ^e	4 (2.2)
Gastroenteritis clostridial (DB)	1 (0.5)
Cardiac failure (DB)	1 (0.5)
Right ventricular failure (OL)	1 (0.5)
Respiratory failure (OL)	1 (0.5)

^aData are presented for the combined safety set of patients who received OPSYNVI at any time in the DB and/or ongoing OL period. Treatment emergent safety events with OPSYNVI are described; treatment-emergent defined as from first intake of study treatment up to end of treatment, + 30 days post treatment. ^bOccurring in >10% patients.

°n=177.

^dn=178.

•Not including 2 deaths (COVID-19 pneumonia and cerebrovascular accident) that occurred >30 days after end of treatment (97 and 398 days, respectively).

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive	The A DUE Study	Subgroup	Interim Analysis -	Post Hoc	Abbreviations
Summary		Analyses	A DUE OL Study	Analysis	and References
Baseline Characteristics		Effica	acy Results		

- Grünig et al (2024)⁵ conducted a post hoc analysis of patients who were treatment-naïve or on prior monotherapy at randomization, to evaluate the effect of OPSYNVI vs pooled monotherapy (macitentan or tadalafil) at treatment initiation and at escalation.
- Please refer to the baseline characteristics of Grünig et al (2023) subgroup analysis for detailed information on patient disposition.

Baseline Demographics and Characteristics by Background Treatment Status⁵

	Treatme	nt-Naïve	Prior Treated		
Characteristic	OPSYNVI (n=49)	Pooled Monotherapy (n=49)	OPSYNVI (n=58)	Pooled Monotherapy (n=30)	
Female, n (%)	33 (67.3)	42 (85.7)	49 (84.5)	21 (70.0)	
Age, mean (SD), years	53.1 (17.8)	51.8 (16.1)	45.0 (12.9)	53.1 (12.0)	
Time from diagnosis of PAH, mean (SD), years	0.5 (1.5)	1.4 (4.5)	2.9 (3.2)	2.8 (4.5)	
6MWD, mean (SD), m	353 (111.0)	337 (88.9)	352 (82.4)	385 (46.7)	
WHO FC, n (%)					
II	27 (14.6)	12 (24.5)	37 (63.8)	18 (60.0)	
III	21 (11.4)	37 (75.5)	21 (36.2)	12 (40.0)	
PVR, mean (SD), dyn∙s/cm⁵	20 (10.8)	916 (528.9)	915 (600.5)	661 (387.6)	
NT-proBNP, median (range)ª, ng/L	20 (10.8)	684 (51-6433)	234 (51-23,662)	338 (51-4604)	
^a Treatment-naïve: OPSYNVI (n=47), pool pooled monotherapy (n=28).	ed monotherapy (n=	=44); prior treated: (DPSYNVI (n=57),		

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive	The A DUE Study	Subgroup	Interim Analysis -	Post Hoc	Abbreviations
Summary		Analyses	A DUE OL Study	Analysis	and References
Baseline Characteristics		Effica	cy Results		

• There was an improvement in PVR, 6MWD and NT-proBNP from baseline to week 16 with OPSYNVI compared to pooled monotherapy in both groups, which are summarized in the table below.⁵

Change From Baseline to Week 16 in Efficacy Variables With OPSYNVI⁵

	Tr	eatment-Naïv	e	Prior Treated			
Characteristic	OPSYNVI (n=49)	Pooled Monotherapy (n=49)	P value ^a	OPSYNVI (n=58)	Pooled Monotherapy (n=30)	P value ^a	
Change in PVR ^b	-49	-28		-35	-13		
Reduction, %		32	<0.0001	24		<0.0001	
GMR (95% CL) ^₀	0.68 (0).60-0.78)		0.76 (0.67-0.86)			
Mean change in 6MWD ^d	54.8	28.5	0.0791	39.4	18.3	0.0940	
Mean (SE) ^e , m	26.2 (-3	8.1 to 55.5)	to 55.5) 21.1 (-3.7 to 45.9		.7 to 45.9)		
Characteristic	OPSYNVI (n=49)	Pooled Monotherapy (n=49)	<i>P</i> value ^a	OPSYNVI (n=58)	Pooled Monotherapy (n=30)	P value ^a	
Change in NT-proBNP ^f	-62	-38		-24	6		
Reduction, %	41		0.0020	27		0.0353	
GMR (95% CL) ^₅	0.59 (0).43-0.82)		0.73 (0.55-0.98)			

^aP values are exploratory and not adjusted for adaptive design or multiplicity.

^bMissing data at Week 16 were imputed for the following: treatment-naïve: OPSYNVI (n=1), pooled monotherapy (n=2); prior treated: OPSYNVI (n=3), pooled monotherapy (n=1).

Adjusted geometric mean ratio of end of double-blind treatment to baseline for OPSYNVI vs pooled monotherapy.

^dMissing data at week 16 were imputed for the following: treatment-naïve: OPSYNVI (n=1), pooled monotherapy (n=3); prior treated: OPSYNVI (n=3), pooled monotherapy (n=2).

Adjusted change (least squares mean) from baseline difference for OPSYNVI vs pooled monotherapy.

^fMissing data at week 16 were imputed for the following: treatment-naïve: OPSYNVI (n=6), pooled monotherapy (n=2); prior treated: OPSYNVI (n=6), pooled monotherapy (n=2).

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive Summary	The A DUE Study	Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analy A DUE OL St	ysis - Post Hoc Abb and I Analysis and I		Abbreviation and Reference
Abb	previations	Literatur	e Search		Reference	ces
6MWD	6-minute walk dis	tance	M/T FDC	Macite combi	entan/tadalafil nation	fixed-dose
AE	Adverse event	Adverse event		N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide		
AESI	Adverse event of	special interest	OL	Open-	label	
ALT	Alanine aminotran	isferase	OPSYNVI_M	OPSYN compa	NVI group used arison vs macit	d for centan
AST	Aspartate aminotr	Aspartate aminotransferase		OPSYNVI group used for comparison vs tadalafil		d for afil
BMI	Body mass index		РАН	Pulmonary arterial hypertension		
CL	Confidence limit		PAH- SYMPACT	Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension- Symptoms and Impact		
COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019		PDE-5i	Phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor		5
СТD	Connective tissue	Connective tissue disease		Pulmonary vascular resistance		resistance
DB	Double-blind		QD	Once daily		
ERA	Endothelin recept	or antagonist	RHC	Right heart catheterization		ization
FC	Functional class		SAE	Serious adverse event		nt
FDC	Fixed-dose combi	nation	SD	Standard deviation		
GMR	Geometric mean r	atio	SE	Standard error		
HIV	Human immunode	eficiency virus	SvO2	Mixed venous oxygen saturation		n saturation
LS	Least squares		TPR	Total pulmonary resistance		istance
LV	Left ventricular		ULN	Upper limit of normal		al
mPAP	Mean pulmonary a	arterial pressure	US	United States		
mRAP	Mean right atrial p	pressure	wнo	World	Health Organi	zation

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive	The A DUE Study	Subgroup	Interim Analysis	y Post Hoc	Abbreviations
Summary		Analyses	A DUE OL Stud	Analysis	and References
Abbreviations		Literatu	re Search	Referen	ces

A literature search of MEDLINE[®], EMBASE[®], BIOSIS Previews[®], DERWENT[®] (and/or other resources, including internal/external databases) was conducted on 10 January 2025.

This PDF includes interactive elements that can be accessed by clicking tabs below the document title, rectangular blue boxes that open additional pop-ups, and navigation buttons near the page number(s).

Executive Summary	The A DUE Study	Subgroup Analyses	Interim Analysis A DUE OL Stud	y Post Hoc Analysis	Abbreviation and Reference	ns ces
Abbı	Abbreviations Literature Se		re Search	Referen	ces	

- 1. Grünig E, Jansa P, Fan F, et al. Randomized trial of macitentan/tadalafil single-tablet combination therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2024;83(4):473-484.
- Grünig E, Jansa P, Fan F, et al. Macitentan tadalafil fixed dose combination (FDC) in treatment-naïve and prior monotherapy patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH): insights from A DUE. Poster presented at: European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Congress; August 25-28, 2023; Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- 3. Jansa P, Chin K, Grünig E, et al. Macitentan tadalafil fixed dose combination (FDC) in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH): a subgroup analysis from A DUE. Poster presented at: European Respiratory Society (ERS) 2023 Congress; September 9-13, 2023; Milan, Italy.
- 4. Chin KM, Jansa P, Grünig E, et al. Effect on exercise capacity and long-term safety and tolerability of macitentan and tadalafil as a single-tablet combination in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension from the A DUE open-label interim analysis. Oral Presentation presented at: American Thoracic Society (ATS) Conference; May 17-22, 2024; San Diego, CA.
- Grünig E, Fan F, Chin KM, et al. Efficacy of macitentan/tadalafil single-tablet combination therapy vs pooled monotherapy in pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH): A DUE post hoc analysis. Poster presented at: European Respiratory Society (ERS) 2024 Congress; September 7–11, 2024; Vienna, Austria.
- Chin K, Jansa P, Fan F, et al. Efficacy and safety of macitentan tadalafil fixed dose combination in pulmonary arterial hypertension: results from the randomized controlled phase III A DUE study. Oral Presentation presented at: American College of Cardiology 2023 Annual Scientific Session & Expo Together With World Congress of Cardiology (ACC.23/WCC); March 4-6, 2023; New Orleans, LA.
- 7. Grünig E, Jansa P, Fan F, et al. Supplement to: Randomized trial of macitentan/tadalafil single-tablet combination therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2024;83(4):473-484.

